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Abstract – Multipath Routing (MR) technique is much common in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks (MANET) as they overcome single-path routing’s particular limitations. However, by reason of the deficiency of trusted centralized authority and also inadequate resources, attaining energy-effective secure MR is a significant challenge in MANET. Aimed at overcoming these challenges, this work proposes a trust-centred energy-efficient MR scheme for MANET. In this research, primarily, the direct and indirect trust of nodes and paths are examined and centred on these trust values, the secure multipath is selected and also the victim nodes are identified and isolated. Next, the data packets (DPs) are encrypted using the Secret key-centred Hybrid Honey Encryption (SH2E) algorithm to secure the DPs against data transmission (DT) attacks. Next, the Levy Flight centred Shuffled Shepherd Optimization (LF-SSO) Algorithm is applied to discover an optimal path as of the multipath selected. This algorithm improves the network’s lifetime by discovering a path based on path trust, residual node energy, and also the path’s distance. And then, the encrypted DPs are forwarded as of the source node (SN) onto the destination node (DN) over the discovered optimal path, and finally, transmitted to the base station (BS). This work not only considers the energy-efficient secure routing but also considers the route maintenance. The experiential outcomes are given to exhibit the proposed system’s efficacy.


1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, MANET has progressed as one amidst the fastest-developing fields of research and more common technology in the wireless network (WN) as a consequence of the incremented wireless devices’ adoption [1]. A MANET is defined as an assembly of wireless devices traveling in apparently arbitrary directions and communicating with each other with no assistance from a developed infrastructure [2]. Communicating nodes prevalent in a MANET generally pursue other intermediate nodes’ aid aimed at developing communication channels [3]. MANET routing protocols function as a fundamental element in ubiquitous devices’ potential future [4]. Routing protocols are generally categorized as on-demand, table-driven (proactive), and also hybrid. As for frequent network change, an on-demand approach is preferred for many MR in MANET [5].

Recently, on-demand MR approaches have become important research in MANET. The MR approaches provide numerous routes betwixt a source-destination pair. Multipath techniques comprise numerous advantages, like higher bandwidth utilization, higher network life, lesser end-to-end delay, higher throughput, et cetera [6]. It decreases network congestion and protection against route failures [7, 8]. The foremost challenging task to offer an on-demand MR scheme aimed at mobile ad-hoc networks is to attain a secure protocol by maintaining the trust and also energy efficacy simultaneously.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOMENCLATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOMDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AODV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-MPDSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH2E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF-SSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aimed at ensuring the work, diverse secured routing protocols in MANETs, like cryptographic approaches [9] and game-theoretic approaches [10, 11] are established in the former years. The cryptographic approach is trust-centred mechanisms not generic to be utilized in all MANET types. [12]. Game theoretic-centred security, defence mechanisms, and decision-making protocols can be utilized in resource-constrained networks.

Nevertheless, these techniques’ performance worsens whilst implemented in an unfavorable MANET’s environment and whilst the attackers are energy-efficient and adaptive in nature. Aimed at providing an energy-efficient approach in MR in MANET, an energy management protocol is utilized [13]. An energy-aware MR protocol has to maintain a trade-off between energy consumptions (ECs) and other metrics, such as link reliability, network capacity, throughput, end-to-end delay, etc.

Recently, the existent researches, like MMRE-centred on Ad-hoc On-Demand multipath Distance Vector (AOMDV) [14], Ad-hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) [15], AOMDV [16], a cross-layer optimized Energy-aware MR Protocol (EMRP) [17], and Energy-Aware Multi-Path Dynamic Source Routing (EA-MPDSR) protocol [18] was established to offer an energy-efficient effective on-demand technique. [19].

Additionally, in the data flow attacks’ presence, like intercepting, jamming, and also hijacking, the existent work doesn't perform effectively. Generally, during the data-transfer as of an SN onto a DN, an apt path selection is of supreme significance. An excellent path is essential to upgrade EC, guarantee the DPs’ secured delivery, and balance the load of a MANET’s intermediate nodes. Hence, this work proffers a trust-centred energy-efficient MR scheme aimed at trying and attaining all aforesaid objectives.

The motivation of this research is to obtain energy-efficient secure MR in MANET is a big issue due to a lack of trusted centralised authority and also insufficient resources. The objective of this research is to offer a trust-centered energy-efficient MR strategy for MANET to address the issues. The detailed nomenclature used in this paper is shown in table 1.

This paper’s remaining part has been systematized as: Section 2 exemplifies the related work. Section 3 comprehensively elucidates the proposed energy-effective secured MR in a comprehensive manner with apt diagrammatic depiction. Section 4 exhibits the proposed methodology’s outcomes and also a discussion. Section 5 completes the research with its future work.

2. RELATED WORK

Rajashanithi et al. [20] established an innovative Quality of Service (QoS) dependant secured MR system aimed at dependable data communication and also encryption methodologies. Likewise, the MR procedure AODV-BR technique with Optimal Fuzzy Logic was pondered. The Grey Wolf Optimization approach’s Adaptive formation of envisioned the optimal path. Hence, an optimal path was chosen as of the recognized routes aimed at sheltering the data key management methodologies. The simulation outcome validated that the recommended work’s energy efficacy and network lifetime were developed better than that of existing work. But for a secured MANET, a trade-off betwixt the services should be offered that means if one service guarantees with not noticing other services, the security system would fail.

Kasthuribai et al. [21] presented a secured and QoS centred energy-aware MR in MANET. Aimed at selecting multipath route, a particle swarm optimization-gravitational search technique was employed. Centred on the algorithm, in the network, energy-efficient multipath routes were chosen. As of the developed routes, an optimal path was chosen in the network centred on the cuckoo search technique that performed centred on cuckoos’ behavior. Simulation outcomes exhibited that the work’s energy efficacy and network security was enhanced analogized to that of the existent work. Due to diverse factors, network topologies repeatedly vary that consecutively causes network failures.

Veeraiah et al. [22] exemplified an efficient MR technique in MANET centred on an optimization technique. In the MANET, the energy and also the security issues were efficiently addressed with the cluster-head’s (CH’s) selection and also intrusion detection stratagems, like, fuzzy clustering and also fuzzy Naïve-Bayes (NB). Bird swarm-whale optimization algorithm (BSWOA) that was birds swarm optimization’s (BSA’s) integration in whale optimization algorithm (WOA) was utilized to attain optimal routing protocol. The BSWOA attained the throughput, maximum energy, a minimal delay, and detection rate in the attack’s presence. This restriction signifies the time required aimed at every technique to discover malevolent nodes and also secure the networks against every malevolent node.

Muthurajkumar et al. [23] developed a secured routing technique termed Cluster-centred Energy-Efficient Secure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WN</th>
<th>Wireless Network</th>
<th>DTD</th>
<th>Distribution Transforming Decoder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Base Station</td>
<td>RREP</td>
<td>Routing Reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN</td>
<td>Destination Node</td>
<td>RREQ</td>
<td>Route Request</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Nomenclature
RESEARCH ARTICLE

Routing Algorithm (CEESRA) that was energy-effective and utilized cluster-centred routing where the trust scores on nodes were utilized aimed at effectively detecting the intruders. The routing algorithm decremented the Service attacks’ Denial much effectively with intelligent agents aimed at efficient decision making in routing. As of the experimentations executed with this trust-centred secured routing technique, it had been perceived that the routing technique not just boosted the security however also decremented the routing delay and EC. Clustering can resolve a few security challenges however cluster’s creation and also its maintenance were extremely challengeable owing to MANET’s dynamic topology.

Taha et al. [24] highlighted the EC in MANET via the fitness function (FF) technique aimed at optimizing the EC in AOMDV routing technique. The AOMDV protocol with the FF is termed FF-AOMDV. The FF was employed aimed at discovering the optimal path as of the SN onto the DN for decrementing the EC in MR. FF-AOMDV protocol’s performance had been examined with network simulator version 2 in which the performance was analogized with AOMDV and ad hoc on-demand MR with Life Maximization (AOMR-LM) techniques. Moreover, it incremented traffic overhead by sending duplicated packets. This incremented the congestion, packet loss, and EC.

K.valarmathi et al. [25] pondered the EC issue prevalent in MANET, and also it was overcome via the energy constraints’ optimization. The mobile nodes prevalent in MANET had been grouped via the K-medoid clustering technique primarily that could decrement the data routing’s cost in massive and also dense networks. As for every cluster, the restricted EC-centred MR was accomplished employing the opposition genetic-centred fish swarm optimization (FSO) technique. Simulation outcomes discovered that the work’s energy efficacy and its network lifetime were enhanced than the existent work. However, the technique could just evade the network as an attack. But, the technique might detect an attack, in case of misbehavior, whilst they were incapable to detect the malevolent nodes or else eradicate them as of the whole network.

Sarkar et al. [26] exemplified a secured and energy-effective stochastic MR technique centred on a Markov chain aimed at MANET. The routing protocol computed numerous paths betwixt source-destination pairs and elected an energy-effective path stochastically as of those paths aimed at forwarding the DPs. Moreover, the technique as well secured the data flow prevalent in the network as the DPs were transmitted via arbitrary paths as of the SN onto the DN. The performance examination and also the numerical outcomes validated that the technique attained vital performance gains regarding the routing protocols’ EC, throughput, delay, and also security. However, in the routing information defeating technique, the security approach’s control packets transmission processing time incremented.

3. TRUST-BASED ENERGY EFFICIENT SECURE MR IN MOBILE AD HOC NETWORK

An assembly of mobile nodes termed MANET, acts as both routers and also hosts in an ad-hoc WN and that self-organize dynamically in a WN with not utilizing any pre-built infrastructure. By reason of the MR behaviour, the MANET is adapted in numerous applications, like military, industry, and emergency recovery, EC and also secured routing are pondered as the most challenging operation in MANET owing to the deficiency of trusted centralized authority and also limited resources. Aimed at offering energy-effective secured MR betwixt ad-hoc network nodes, this work proffers a trust-centred energy-efficient MR technique aimed at MANET. The scheme proposed comprises ‘4’ focus areas, like trust calculation, DPs’ encryption, optimal routing path discovery, and then route maintenance. Here, every node can identify the victim nodes and choose the optimized secured paths utilizing trust values, node’s energy level, and also path distance. Moreover, security routing is boosted by adding in cryptographic techniques. Figure-1 exhibits the proposed work’s flow diagram. MR comprises detecting multiple paths betwixt a SN and DN. These numerous paths can be utilized aimed at compensating for the MANET’s dynamic and unpredictable nature. In MANET, whilst the DPs are transferred via the path comprising attack nodes, the DPs can't reach the destination. Ponder a node pair $N_i$ and $N_j$ communicate with one another. Whilst the node ‘$N_i$’ fails to forward the DPs that is received from $N_j$, it is said to have shown malicious behaviour against $N_j$. The routing procedures aren’t capable to discover and segregate victim nodes and are vulnerable to attack launched by them. So, each node’s trust values are examined; and centred on these trust values, the victim nodes are eliminated aimed at preventing the MANET as of attacks, error, and also loss.

3.1. Trust Evaluation Model

It computes the node’s trust value centred on the nodes’ communication behavior. Each node’s trust value is assigned in the range betwixt 0 and 1. Each node’s trust value is assigned initially as 0.1. If the node comprises a greater number of the effective continuous packet transfer, the node’s trust value can increment faster, or else, a node’s trust value will decrement faster. Here, each node’s trust value is examined by pondering ‘2’ trust value types. This trust evaluation is termed as hybrid trust evaluation. The hybrid trust is signified as in equation (1).

$$H_T = \sum(D_T + I_T) \quad \text{(1)}$$
Here, $H_T$ implies the hybrid trust evaluation; $D_T$ signifies the direct trust; $I_T$ symbolizes the indirect trust.

![Proposed Flow Diagram]

**Figure 1 Proposed Flow Diagram**

### 3.1.1. Direct Trust

It is first-hand information of direct communication among nodes and it is simple to be attained. There exist ‘2’ direct trust types available that are node trust and path trust, which are elucidated as:

**3.1.2. Node Trust**

The mobile agent calculates the node trust centred on its communication range. Herein, the node trust is monitored and examined to identify if the node is forwarding or else dropping the packets. A node $N_i$’s trust in other node $N_j$ is a measurement to ensure that the DPs transferred by the $N_i$ node actually are transmitted by the $N_j$ node. The node $N_i$ ’s node trust in node $N_j$ at time step $t$ is signified as $NT'_{(i,j)}$ that enumerated as in equation (2).

$$NT'_{(i,j)} = (\hat{w}_1 * R_{cf'}^i) + (\hat{w}_2 * R_{df'}^i)$$

Herein, $R_{cf'}^i$ and $R_{df'}^i$ signifies the control packet forwarding ratio and DP’s forwarding ratio, correspondingly at time step $t$; $\hat{w}_1$ and $\hat{w}_2$ implies the assigned weight values of $R_{cf'}^i$ and $R_{df'}^i$, correspondingly.

### 3.1.3. Path Trust

Path trust value’s calculation is utilized to discover the path’s reliability where the DPs are forwarded. This value is defined as the weighted average of the nodes’ trust values in the path. The path trust is enumerated as in equation (3),

$$PT_{(i,j)} = \prod NT'_{(i,j)} | N_i, N_j \in p \text{ and } N_i \rightarrow N_j$$

Here, $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ signifies that $N_j$ is $N_i$’s next hop. Lastly, the direct trust is articulated as in equation (4),

$$D_T'_{(i,j)} = \sum (NT'_{(i,j)} + PT'_{(i,j)})$$

### 3.1.4. Indirect Trust

It is the second-hand information regarding nodes, like a suggest trust as of a 3rd party. Aimed at boosting the trust value’s accuracy, it is necessary to discover the node $N_k$ ’s indirect trust as of the common adjacent nodes betwixt node $N_i$ and $N_j$. The neighboring node $N_k$ ’s indirect trust value to node $N_j$ is enumerated as in equation (5),

$$I_T^{(k)}_{(i,j)} = D_T^{(i,k)} * D_T^{(k,j)}$$

Here, $I_T^{(k)}_{(i,j)}$ implies the node $N_i$ ’s and $N_j$ ’s indirect trust value regarding $N_k$ ; $D_T^{(i,k)}$ signifies the node $N_i$ ’s direct trust in node $N_k$ ; $D_T^{(k,j)}$ implies the node $N_j$ ’s direct trust in node $N_k$.

The direct and indirect trust values comprise all the nodes’ trust information presented betwixt SN and DN. Every node’s status is identified centred on this trusted value that is exhibited in Table 2. By calculating trust values, just the
nodes with efficient trust nodes are allowed aimed at communicating in the network and the victim nodes are isolated such that the MANET is capable to resist against routing attacks thrown by victim nodes. Then, the DP is encrypted at the SN for withstanding the DT attacks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trust Value</th>
<th>Node Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_T &lt; 0.5, I_T &lt; 0.5$</td>
<td>Malicious Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_T = 0.5, I_T = 0.5$</td>
<td>Suspect Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.5 \leq D_T \leq 0.75, 0.5 \leq I_T \leq 0.75$</td>
<td>Less Trustworthy Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_T &gt; 0.75, I_T &gt; 0.75$</td>
<td>Trustworthy Node</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Node Status Based On Trust Values

3.2. Encryption of Data Packets

Before transmitting the DPs as of SN to DN, the DPs are encrypted for boosting the DPs’ security level against DT attacks. Converting the DPs into ciphered data is performed mainly to block unauthorized access. The system proposed utilizes the SHFE algorithm. The Honey Encryption (HE) procedure is implemented aimed at executing the multi-level security in MANETS.

The HE technique is one amidst the deceive technique to attackers who utilize brute force attack. It will deter hackers by serving up fake data for the key code’s every incorrect guess. The HE creates just ‘1’ key i.e. HE key utilizing Rivest–Shamir–Adleman (RSA) technique. Aimed at boosting its security level ‘1’ more key (i.e.) secret key is created utilizing the HE key.

For generating HE key utilizing RSA, primarily, ‘2’ prime integers are arbitrarily elected. Ponder the ‘2’ prime numbers as $a$ and $b$, then calculate their product $Q$ as in equation (6),

$$Q = a \times b \quad (6)$$

Next, choose ‘1’ integer $k$ that must be greater than ‘1’ and less than (a-1) and (b-1). Then, the definite pair of integers $Q$ and $k$ forms the HE key, which is denoted as $k'$. Then, the HE secret key $k'_s$ is enumerated as of the integers $a, b$ and $k'$ as in equation (7),

$$k'_s = k'^{-1}(a-1)(b-1) \quad (7)$$

After the key generation, HE process is executed. Let consider as the input DP as $P_d$. In the encryption procedure, the Distribution Transforming Encoder (DTE) is employed to the DP $P_d$ to produce seed $\delta$ using uniform random assignment as in equation (8),

$$\delta \rightarrow \alpha \text{DTE}(P_d) \quad (8)$$

Herein, $\alpha$ implied the uniform random assignment. After obtaining the seed centered on HE key and random string, the seed gets encoded under a conventional encryption technique utilizing the HE key and secret key to produce the corresponding ciphertext to the DP $P_d$ as in equation (9),

$$\hat{\delta} = H(\rho, k') \quad (9)$$

Herein, $\hat{\delta}$ signifies the encrypted seed; $\rho$ implies the random string; $H$ symbolizes the cryptographic hash function. Lastly, the cipher-text is attained utilizing seed and encrypted seed as in equation (10),

$$C(P_d) = (\hat{\delta} \oplus \delta) + k'_s \quad (10)$$

Herein, $C(P_d)$ signify the DP $P_d$’s ciphertext. During encryption, the random string, encrypted seed, HE key, and secret key are sent to the receiver (authorized users) side. Utilizing these random string, encrypted seed, HE key, and secret key only, the original DP would be recovered such that just the unauthorized users can’t access this ciphertext. In MR, the SN transmits this ciphertext onto the next node prevalent in the data path; it expects the next node to forward that DP. But before transmitting the ciphertext securely, it is necessary to discover a secured routing path betwixt SN and DN.

3.3. Multipath Routing Process

In MR, a SN waits for intermediate multiple paths to forward the ciphertext to the destination. In a routing discovery procedure, a SN broadcasts a route request (RREQ) message to discover the DN, whilst an intermediate node receives the RREQ message, it will record the hop count from the source to itself and forward the RREQ message to the DN. After the DN has received the RREQ message, it will begin the routing reply process and will send a routing reply (RREP) message back to the SN, and then the MR process is started.

For efficient data communication, multiple routing paths are discovered. The routing paths are generated centred on the path’s trust values in this way the multi-path is built as of the SN to the DN. As the number of transaction happens in the chosen paths, there exists a possibility aimed at link quality loss. So, it is necessary to select one optimal path from the selected routing paths and the optimal path should be greatly trusted, energy-effective, and shortest as of the SN to the DN.
Thus, to acquire optimal multipath, the work proposed utilizes the LF-SSO technique centred on the factors, like the path’s trust value, route energy, and also route distance.

3.4. Optimal Routing Path Discovery

An optimal routing path discovery is used to discover a better link quality path to transfer data from the SN to the DN. In this work, the optimal paths are obtained from the selected paths using the LF-SSO algorithm. The LF-SSO algorithm is a novel population-centred meta-heuristic that imitates the shepherds’ herding behavior. Shepherd places horses or other animals in a group using the instinct of these animals to discover the best way to pasture. In the step size calculation process of the SSO algorithm, the horses and sheep are selected randomly. This random selection results in poor optimization. To avoid this issue, the proposed work uses a levy flight selection instead of a random selection. So, this algorithm is an named LF-SSO algorithm.

At first, a set of candidate solution is generated that contains a number of elements (i.e.) sheep. The generated candidate solution set is articulated as in equation (11),

$$\psi_j = \{\psi_{j1}, \psi_{j2}, \psi_{j3}, \ldots, \psi_{jm}\}$$ (11)

Herein, $\psi_j$ signifies the candidate solution set; $m$ implies the number of sheep ($m = h \times s$); $h$ signifies the number of group and $s$ implies the number of sheep in every group. Then, $j^\text{th}$ sheep initial position in f-dimension is articulated as in equation (12),

$$\psi_j^o = \psi_{\text{min}} + \hat{r} \odot (\psi_{\text{max}} - \psi_{\text{min}})$$ (12)

Herein, $\psi_j^o$ represents $j^\text{th}$ sheep’s initial solution, $\psi_{\text{min}}$ and $\psi_{\text{max}}$ are the bound of design variables, $\odot$ implies the element-by-element multiplication and $\hat{r}$ is the random vector ($\hat{r} \in [0,1]$). Next, each solution’s fitness is enumerated; herein, every candidate’s solution is pondered as selected paths. Hence, each solution’s fitness is pondered as maximal path trust and minimal EC and minimal path distance that is articulated in eqn. (13),

$$F_m = \begin{cases} \max \left( \sum_{N_{n+1}} PT(N_j, N_{n+1}) \right); & \text{path trust} \\ \max \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{N_{n}} E_{\text{res}} \right); & \text{residual energy of each node} \\ \min \left( \sum_{N_{n}} \text{dist}(N_j, N_{n+1}) \right); & \text{path distance between source and destination} \end{cases}$$ (13)

Herein, $PT(N_j, N_{n+1})$ and $dist(N_n, N_{n+1})$ signify the path’s trust and path’s distance betwixt node $N_n$ and $N_{n+1}$, correspondingly; $n = 1$ implies SN; $d$ signifies the DN; $E_{\text{res}}$ implies the node’s residual energy.

Centred on the fitness values, the solutions are sorted in ascending order. Spread the sheep to the group aimed at making the groups. In every group, the sheep are chosen in order. The sheep selected are termed shepherd and also the sheep comprising efficient fitness prevalent in a herd are termed horses. Every shepherd step-size ($S_{s_j}$) is computed by choosing one amidst the horse and sheep as in equation (14) and (15),

$$S_{s_j} = \omega * L' \circ (\psi_{d} - \psi_{i}) + \sigma * L' \circ (\psi_{k} - \psi_{s})$$ (14)

$$L' = t(-y), \quad 1 < y < 3$$ (15)

Herein, $\psi_d$ and $\psi_s$ signify the horse and sheep chosen. $L'$ implies the levy flight distribution; $\omega$ and $\sigma$ imply the factors utilized to manage exploration and exploitation, correspondingly. These factors are enumerated in (16) and (17),

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_{\text{MAX}} - \omega_0}{I_{\text{MAX}}} \times I$$ (16)

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{MAX}} - \sigma_0}{I_{\text{MAX}}} \times I$$ (17)

Herein, $I$ implies the iteration; $I_{\text{MAX}}$ signifies the maximal iteration. This algorithm executes good exploration in early iterations and better exploitation in the final iterations aimed at attaining efficient optimization. Next, $\psi_j$’s new position is enumerated as in equation (18),

$$\psi_j^* = \psi_j^0 + S_{s_j}$$ (18)

If the fitness value of $\psi_j^*$ isn’t worse analogized to $\psi_j^0$’s fitness value, $\psi_j$’s position is updated. Likewise, every path is examined and analogized with its respective old path regarding their fitness value. This process will be repeated until the optimal path is obtained. The following algorithm 1 exhibits the LF-SSO algorithm’s pseudo-code aimed at optimal path selection.

Input: Selected Routing Paths
Output: Optimal Routing path

Begin
Initialize the candidate solution $\Psi_j$ randomly
Determine the initial position using,
$$\Psi_j^0 = \Psi_{\text{min}} + \hat{r} \circ (\Psi_{\text{max}} - \Psi_{\text{min}})$$

Evaluate fitness for each solution using,
$$F_{opt} = \begin{bmatrix}
\max \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} PT(N_i, N_{opt}) \right) ; & \text{path trust} \\
\max \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum E_{\text{res}} \right) ; & \text{residual energy of each node} \\
\min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} \text{dist}(N_i, N_{opt}) \right) ; & \text{path distance between source and destination}
\end{bmatrix}$$

While \( I=0 \) to \( I_{\text{MAX}} \) do

Sort the solutions in ascending order based on \( F_{opt} \) and form groups.

Determine step size for each shepherd using,
$$S_{S_j} = \omega \ast L^y \circ (\Psi_d - \Psi_j) + \tilde{\omega} \ast L^y \circ (\Psi_k - \Psi_j)$$

Generate new elements using, \( \Psi_j^r = \Psi_j^0 + S_{S_j} \)

If \( F_{opt}(\Psi_j^r) \geq F_{opt}(\Psi_j^0) \)

sheep position = \( \Psi_j^r \)

else

sheep position = \( \Psi_j^0 \)

end if

end while

Return Optimal routing path

End

Algorithm 1 SSO Algorithm’s Pseudo-Code

Pursuing this way, the optimal path is chosen by employing this LF-SSO technique between SN and DN. The optimal path signifies the path that comprises the high true value, high energy level, and also the less distance. Figure 3 exhibits the routing path’s optimal selection. Over this optimal routing path, the ciphertext is transmitted as of the SN onto the DN, and next, it is communicated securely to the BS that is explicated as in equation (19),

$$\text{MANET} \xrightarrow{\text{Ciphertext}(C(P_d))} \text{Base station} \quad (19)$$

After attaining the data as of the BS, the original DPs are acquired utilizing a random string, encrypted seed, HE key, and secret key that is exemplified in the section below.

3.5. Decryption of Ciphertext

The Distribution Transforming Decoder (DTD) is employed to the ciphertext in the decryption procedure proceeded by the conventional encryption technique. Next, initially, the receiver attempts to decode the encrypted seed \( \hat{\delta} \) as in equation (20),

$$\delta = (C(P_d) \oplus \hat{\delta}) - k_S'$$

(20)

With this seed value’s assistance, the original DP is acquired utilizing the eqn. (21),

$$P_d = DTD(\delta)$$

(21)
node communicates a routing error (RERR) message onto the SN as in equation (22).

\[
\text{if } \sum (E_{res}, T_{res}, C_q)_{\text{Node}} < \sum (E_{res}, T_{res}, C_q)_{\text{Threshold}}
\]

Former node \(\xrightarrow{\text{RERR}}\) Source node \hspace{1cm} (22)

Where, \(\sum (E_{res}, T_{res}, C_q)_{\text{Node}}\) represents the node’s current residual energy, response time and communication quality, \(\sum (E_{res}, T_{res}, C_q)_{\text{Threshold}}\) represents the assigned threshold energy, response time and communication quality. If a SN receives a RERR, it initializes a new RREQ to the other available nodes. The route maintenance procedure boosts the performance of MANET’s routing procedure.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Here, the performance of the techniques proposed utilized aimed at energy-efficient secure MR betwixt the SN and DN is examined by executing diverse experimentations on Network Simulation Tool-Version 2 (NS2). The protocols proposed, like LF-SSO and SH2E, are analogized with a few traditional existent protocols centred on a few quality metrics.

4.1. Performance Analysis of LF-SSO

In the work proposed, multipath routes are chosen centred on the path’s trust values as of the network. Aimed at attaining energy-efficient secure MR, the work proposed utilizes the LF-SSO protocol, so an optimal path is chosen as of the multipath routes selected. Aimed at verifying this LF-SSO protocol’s effectiveness, in this section, the performance of the proposed LF-SSO is weighted against existing optimization protocol, such as SSO, Cuckoo Search Optimization (CSO), FSO and Genetic Algorithm (GA) centred on end to end delay, packet delivery ratio, EC, throughput, and also network lifetime.

4.1.1. Performance Metrics

End-to-End Delay (ETED): ETED signifies the time duration that is used-up aimed at transferring a DP as of SNs onto DNs over the network. The ETED is enumerated as as in equation (23).

\[
\text{ETED} = T'_{(sP)} - T'_{(rP)}
\]

Herein, \(T'_{(sP)}\) implies the packet generation time in SN; \(T'_{(rP)}\) implies the packet received time in the DN.

Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR): It defines the ratio betwixt the number of DPs supplied to the DN (\(N_{(s(DP))}\)) and the DPs transmitted by the SN (\(N_{(s(SP))}\)) as in equation (24).

\[
P_{DR} = \frac{N_{(r(DP))}}{N_{(s(SP))}} \times 100
\]

Energy Consumption (EC): It signifies the amount of energy utilized by the network nodes aimed at transferring a DP from SN to DN as in equation (25).

\[
E_C = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (E(i)_{initial} - E(i)_{current})
\]

Here, \(E(i)_{initial}\) implies every node’s initial energy; \(E(i)_{current}\) symbolizes every node's current energy at the simulation’s end.

Throughput (Tg): It signifies the average successful delivery of DPs on a destination in specified simulation time as in equation (26).

\[
T_g = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} N_{(r(DP))} \times 8}{\text{simulation time} \times 100}
\]

Network Lifetime (TNL): Time essential aimed at exhausting \(n\)-number of mobile nodes’ batteries is termed as TNL as in equation (27).

\[
T_{NL} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (E(i)_{current} = 0)
\]

Here, the proposed and existent optimal routing path selection techniques’ ETED, PDR, EC, Tg, also and TNL are enumerated by changing the number of nodes as of 20 to 100. Figure 4 exhibits the proposed and existent methodologies’ comparison examination.
Figure 4 analogizes the proposed LF-SSO’s performance with the existent protocols, like SSO, CSO, FSO, and GA, regarding ETED. The protocols’ ETED are measured in milliseconds. As of figure 4, aimed at 20 nodes, the LF-SSO protocol proposed takes 0.7ms for transferring the DP as of SN to DN, whereas the existent protocols, like SSO, CSO, FSO, and also GA takes 0.89ms, 1.07ms, 1.39ms and 1.51ms aimed at DT. Similarly, for 40, 60, 80, and 100 nodes, the proposed protocol’s ETED is much less whilst analogized to the prevalent methodologies. If a transmission delay is incremented, every node’s energy gets decremented. As the DP is transferred over the shortest path, the proposed protocol’s ETED is low. So, the protocol proposed is greatly effective analogized to the other protocols.

The proposed and existent protocols’ PDR aimed at a diverse number of nodes is examined in figure 5. The PDR exhibits how the optimal path selected transfers the DP efficiently as of SN to DN. For effective DT, the protocol’s PDR must be high. As of figure 5, it is examined that for 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 nodes, the proposed protocol attains 0.75, 0.67, 0.89, 0.75, and 0.62 PDR, correspondingly. Nevertheless, the prevalent protocols SSO, CSO, FSO, and GA attains a very less PDR.

Figure 6 exhibits the EC performance analogy aimed at LF-SSO proposed and existent SSO, CSO, FSO, and GA protocols. The nodes’ EC is measured in Joule. Whilst a node consumes greater energy, the DT gets delayed, so the nodes’ EC must be less for effective DT. The graph analogy revealed that the proposed LF-SSO’s EC is ‘2’ times efficient analogized to the existent GA. For 100 nodes, LF-SSO’s EC is 22J, whereas the EC of GA is 42.1J. Other protocols SSO, CSO, FSO spends 28.67J, 31.77J, 33.09J energy at the transmission’s end. This analysis clarified that the protocol proposed comprises excellent performance analogized to other procedures.

The minimal route distance offers the benefit of attains a maximal Tg.

Figure 7 examined the proposed and existent protocols’ Tg. Whilst the number of nodes increments, the Tg decrements. As of 20 to 100 nodes, the LF-SSO proposed decrements Tg as of 102kbps to 71kpbs, SSO decrements Tg as of 148kbps to 104kpbs, CSO decrements Tg as of 162kbps to 129kpbs, FSO decrements Tg as of 180kbps to 143kpbs, and GA decrements Tg as of 198kbps to 169kpbs. However, the proposed protocol’s Tg is far efficient analogized to other techniques. The minimal route distance offers the benefit of attains a maximal Tg.

The TNL utilizing the proposed and existent protocols is analogized in figure 8. The number of nodes differs in the (20 to 100) range. The TNL is measured in hours. Performing a DT with 20 nodes, the lifetime of the proposed LF-SSO is 437hrs.
and the existing SSO, CSO, FSO, and GA protocols are 392hrs, 345hrs, 296hrs, and 230hrs. The T_{NL} utilizing LF-SSO is greater analogized to the existent protocols. Likewise, aimed at the residual count of nodes, the T_{NL} is greater whilst utilizing LF-SSO. Whilst the nodes’ EC decremented, the T_{NL} gets incremented. Consequently, this analysis validated that the protocol proposed is extremely effective and excellent analogized to the prevalent protocols.

4.2. Performance Analysis of SH^2E

Aimed at preventing DPs as of the DT attacks, the work proposed utilizes the SH^2E technique. Here, the proposed cryptography technique’s performance is analogized with the existent cryptography techniques, like HE, Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC), RSA, and also Diffie-Hellman aimed at validating the proposed technique’s security. The performance analogy is examined centered on encryption time, decryption time, and security level. The encryption time and decryption time is computed by changing the DP size as of 10 kilobytes to 50 kilobytes.

4.2.1. Performance Metrics

Encryption Time (T_e): It signifies the time that is spend aimed at converting the original message (DPs) into ciphertext as in equation (28).

\[ T_e = e(T)_{end} - e(T)_{start} \]  

(28)

Herein, \( e(T)_{end} \) signifies the encryption ending time;

\( e(T)_{start} \) implies the encryption starting time.

Decryption Time (T_d): It signifies the time that is spend aimed at recovering the original message as of the ciphertext as in equation (29).

\[ T_d = d(T)_{end} - d(T)_{start} \]  

(29)

Herein, \( d(T)_{end} \) signifies the decryption ending time; \( d(T)_{start} \) implies the decryption starting time.

Figure-9 exhibits the comparative analysis of the proposed and existing protocols’ performance regarding (a) \( T_e \) and (b) \( T_d \). The performance is gauged grounded on the DP’s size (in bytes) ranging as of 10 to 50 kilobytes. Figure-9 validated that the \( T_e \) and \( T_d \) increments with the incrementing DP size. Aimed at encrypting a 10kb DP, the SH2E proposed spends 548ms.

However, the existent HE, ECC, RSA, and Diffie-Hellman spends 685ms, 854ms, 1047ms, and 1284ms aimed at encrypting a 64b DP that is greater analogized to the SH2E proposed. Likewise, the SH2E proposed spends lesser time analogized to HE, ECC, RSA, and Diffie-Hellman aimed at the remaining DP size. Like the encryption procedure, in decryption as well, the SH2E proposed spends lesser time analogized to the prevalent algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Packet Size (kilobytes)</th>
<th>Proposed SH2E</th>
<th>HE</th>
<th>ECC</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>Diffie-Hellman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TE</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td>TE</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td>TE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>1668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2214</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>2434</td>
<td>2172</td>
<td>2434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2732</td>
<td>2534</td>
<td>2724</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>2986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4176</td>
<td>3941</td>
<td>4334</td>
<td>4173</td>
<td>4566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Performance of Proposed and Existing Cryptographic Algorithms

Aimed at decrypting 10kb to 50kb DPs, the SH^2E spends 803ms, 875ms, 923ms, 1153ms, and 1243ms, correspondingly, that is relatively lesser analogized to the prevalent protocols. This analogy validates that the algorithm proposed functions quicker analogized to the existent cryptography technique. The proposed and existent techniques’ security level is analogized in figure-10. If any cryptographic technique is utilized aimed at attack prevention, the algorithm must attain a high-security level. On examining the figure, it is clarified that the SH^2E algorithm proposed offers 98.54% security level, however the existent HE, ECC, RSA, and Diffie-Hellman offer 95.02%, 92.78%, 89.10%, and 87.28% security level as shown in table 3.
5. CONCLUSION

MANET is harshly limited by attacks, storage capacity, computing power, and energy. Consequently, it is vital to build an energy-effective and secured multipath protocol aimed at boosting the TNL and protect the network as of diverse attacks. So, in this work, an LF-SSO and SH2E centred energy-effective secured MR technique is proffered in MANET. The scheme proposed utilizes an LF-SSO aimed at discovering an optimal routing path aimed at an energy-effective secured routing. An SH2E is adapted to boost the DPs’ security level against DT attacks. The proposed LF-SSO’s ETED, PDR, EC, Tg, and TNL is measured by changing the number of nodes. The proposed SH2E algorithm’s Te and Td are also computed for diverse DPs’ sizes. As of the performance examination, it is clarified that the techniques proposed are extremely effective and secured analogized to the other existent techniques. The LF-SSO yields PDR up to 0.89 and boosts the TNL up to 437 hours. Conversely, the SH2E technique proposed yields 98.54% security level, and as well it spends just 4176 milliseconds aimed at encrypting the 50kb DP. Hence, the routing scheme proposed was found to be much energy-effective and secured to resist diverse attacks. The TNL and EC are more boosted in the future by utilizing extra node features, like node’s bandwidth, as another fitness value.
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