Abstract – Wireless sensor networks have paved the way for the creation of a new generation of applications in a variety of fields. The main aim of this article is to simulate some faults in the behavior of wireless sensor networks. These faults can be classified as “failures” or “intrusions”, and in our work, we have focused on the three following faults: external factors (such as Animals, weather ...), a jamming attack in which the attacker is a mobile entity, and finally an inundation attack (successive Hello messages). In order to address the above issues we have designed a method for the detection and isolation of a faulty sensor and a simulator to show the influence of failures and to test the effectiveness of our solution using C++ programming language. This simulation is capable of detecting and isolating a faulty sensor even in the case when multiple sensors break down at the same time.


1. INTRODUCTION

Unquestionably, the beginning of the 21st century was the big bang time for information and communication technology resulting in numerous number of sub technologies such as the internet and Wireless sensor networks. Wireless sensor networks (WSN) have caused an uproar and big difference in the field of technology, giving scientists a new vision of how the world might become in the future. National Research Council has stated in a recent report that these Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) could well dwarf previous revolution in the information revolution [1].

In WSN a large number of sensor node are randomly placed in inaccessible zones [2]. However, WSN can be deployed almost anywhere needed [3]. In various real life applications, security in WSN is a crucial matter [4]. WSN node faults are usually due to the following causes the failure of modules (such as communication and sensing module) due to fabrication process problems, environmental factors, enemy attacks and battery power depletion; being out of the communication range of the entire network [5]. The node status in WSNs can be divided into two types [6-7]: normal and faulty. Faulty in turn can be “permanent” or “static”. The so-called “permanent” means failed nodes will remain faulty until they are replaced, and the so-called “static” means new faults will not generated during fault detection. The Management of abnormal behavior of systems such as automation of failures is a major challenge in the process and the reconfiguration for fault handling. The goal of this work is to simulate WSN’s deployment, and then analyze the collected faults, focusing on three scenarios of failure (external factor, jamming attack, inundation attack), then try to detect and isolate them using a method called “Fault Detection and Isolation Method” (FDI).

The Organization of this paper is as follows:

- The section 2, presents related work in the field of fault detection in WSN.
- In section 3, we explain the FDI method scheme and its concepts.
- In section 4, it is represent the problematic of the paper.
- In section 5, we show the Proposed Modeling.
- In section 6, we will discuss the results of simulation.
- The section 7, represent the conclusion of Article and the main points.

2. RELATED WORK

In an improved Distributed Fault Detection scheme proposed by Jiang [5] in which neighbors always exchange sensing measurement periodically which allows the sensor to judge its own state (good or faulty) based on the received neighbors values.

The proposed method in article [8] is a localized fault detection scheme to identify the faulty sensors; this method has a good performance only when there is a large number of neighboring nodes to be diagnosed.

In the article [9], the authors propose a distributed solution for a canonical task in WSNs. Instead of looking for faults in sensor’s measurement this method explicitly; take into
account this possibility and it is associated with a distributed Bayesian scheme for detecting and correcting these faults.

In the article [10], the authors gave taxonomy for classification of faults in sensor networks and the first on-line model-based testing technique. The technique considers the impact of readings of a particular sensor on the consistency of multi-sensor fusion. The sensor is most likely to be faulty if its elimination significantly improves the consistency of the results. They propose a solution to distinguish the random noise by using the Bayesian method on various sensors nodes. This random noise exists, if the fusion of sensors increases after running the approach. This technique is considered as Centralized technique which use sensors information and sent to the sink to conduct the fault detection.

The Article [11], presented a DF D algorithm that identified faulty sensor nodes based on comparisons between neighboring sensor nodes’ data. This comparisons use two parameters which are sliding window and some storage space, to eliminate the delay produced by the time redundancy.

The authors in article [12] gave a probabilistic Method which diagnoses intermittent faults in WSNs. The result of simulation shows that DFD algorithm based on the number of diagnostic rounds, however these rounds consist of exchanging quantification with the neighboring nodes.

In Article [13], authors made an online lightweight failure detection scheme named Agnostic Diagnosis (AD). This approach is motivated by the fact that the system metrics of sensors (e.g., radio-on time, number of packets transmitted) usually exhibit certain correlation patterns. This method contains a lot of metrics which are classified into four categories:

- Timing metrics, they denote the accumulative radio-on time.
- Traffic metrics, They record the accumulative number of packets transmitted by a sensor node;
- Task metrics. This is the accumulative number of tasks executed;
- The metrics which are count the number of parent changes. AD exploits the correlations between the metrics of each sensor using a correlation graph that describes the status of the sensor node. It uses data mining through graphic result of correlation, that made up an abnormal correlations are appear in time.

Using management architecture, a failure detection scheme called MANNA was proposed for WSNs in the article [14]. This scheme created a manager, which has the global vision of the network, to perform complex tasks such as retrieving the node state and detect node failure. However, the centralized management and overhead communication may not realistic for many applications.

3. THE FDI METHOD SHHEME AND ITS CONCEPTS
A Fault is defect or imperfection which had responsibility of the failure or a wrongful act, it had many characteristic property of the system such as acceptable, usual, standard condition term [15]. The Fault Detection and Isolation composed on tree methods which are:

3.1. Model Free Methods
When the analytical approach to FDI is not available, the Data based Models can be used instead [16]. These models can be applied in many practical application especially those ones that have a large archive of processed data including non-linear system.

3.2. Knowledge Based Methods
Another alternative to the analytical FDI approach is the knowledge-based approach, which either makes use of the knowledge available to derive a qualitative description of the system in the form of a qualitative model or a rule-based representation [15].

3.3. Model Based Methods
It is a Comparison of actual system with a nominal model system [17].

4. PROBLEMATIC
Our problematic is a set of specific attacks and failures. A variety of attacks against WSNs is reported in the literature. To face up these attacks, various countermeasures were offered. The following main types of attacks, sorted by their assignments to the appropriate layers of the protocol stack in WSNs.

We studied three attacks or faults, which are organized as follow:

4.1. Jamming Attacks
Wireless communication systems are often susceptible to the jamming attack in which adversaries attempt to overpower transmitted signals by injecting a high level of noise [19]. The target here of attack is the media of communication. The attacker can perturb the communication by sending a signal of the same frequency used in WSN's Communications, which leads to disability of exchanging data and the unavailability of communication channels in WSN.

4.2. Inundation Attacks
In WSNs, the topology of networks is not determined in anticipation. In this case, the attack broadcasts HELLO
packets to explore one-hop neighbors and the attacker makes use of such packets to engage broad number of sensor nodes by inundation of traffic in the network [18]. Therefore, an attacker can exploit these packets of “HELLO” by sending a stream of such messages to flood network and to prevent other messages of exchanged beings.

4.3. External Factor

It is anything, that interrupt or break a sensor node such as an animal or an object. In this case the sensor node play a role of attacker, it will change its behavior, which it may be a fake detection, fake hello, or sending ambiguous messages to its neighbor, our work is to simulate those behaviors.

5. PORPOSED MODELLING

5.1. Isolation Phase

We notice that the sensor has two states; the first state is listening to messages. Once they are received by the sensor node, the second one is processing them. After that, the sensor looks for the Id in an isolated list. Then, it verifies the flag of messages or returns to the listening state.

5.2. Our Proposed Solution (TBFDI)

The main objective of this section is to present our contribution as part of this work. We start first by a description of our approach, then we discuss the environment used for the implementation of the solution, finally, we analyze the performance of our approach with the various simulations. We called our Approach “Time Based Fault Detection and Isolation” which detect three types of attacks, and isolate their influence over our network. These attacks are:

- Jamming attacks which we can control by using the following procedure: “Each sensor detects an ambiguous message should send signalization “. The second attack is inundation attack, which we propose a technique to discover “Hello inundation” that makes inundation truly unsuccessful; we decompose our timer to three periods: The first period, when admin gives the order of activation. The second period, its period is given to the sensor node to transmit its hello messages, we can call it also the period of activation. The third period, when the period of activation is completed or finished. This means that any messages of Hello are rejected. The third attack is External factors. For that factor, our work is to simulate three behaviors, which are a fake detection, a fake hello, or sending ambiguous messages.

5.3. Organizational Flowchart of The Proposed Solution (TBFDI)

In the case of jamming attacks, the sensor start receiving messages from its neighbors if one of these messages is flagged as an ambiguous message, it reads the Id of the sender and then checks if this Id has been flagged before.
In the case of inundation attacks, our proposed solution works as follows: After activation of the TBFDI method, a timer starts running as long as its value is smaller than a certain period. The TBFDI is considered to be “ON” and the value of “BOOL TBFDI” is equal to “1”.

- If the TBFDI is “ON” and the sensor receives a HELLO message its adds the senders to its list of neighbors. In addition,
- If the Timer exceeds the activation period and the sensors receives another hello message it considers it as an inundation message with goal of decreasing the energy of our power. Supply and in order to simulate that we decrease the energy level by 0.1 unit.

Moreover, if the energy level reaches the Zero level the sensor is considered to be dead. Finally, if an inundation message is received during the activation period we apply the following detection procedure: Since the inundation attack is a repeated process of sending hellos, our sensor should count the number of received hellos if it’s less or equal to 2, the sensor replies and adds its sender to its list of neighbors.

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
This simulation is built using 49 sensors and 1 sink beside this field of sensing with 600 meters height and 800 meters width. We were interested to simulate three scenarios of faults by measuring the following performance metric:

- Energy of network and sensor node.
In order to ensure the performance of our network, we plotted four graphs for three cases:

6.1. The case of External factor
Energy of network: it is represent the sum of all sensors in our network.

\[ AvrE = \frac{\sum E_{\text{EngDiff}}}{\text{Time}}. \]

\[ AvrE = \frac{44}{210} = 0.21 \text{ Energy unit} \]

We may observe abnormal graduation of energy consumption between 27 and 47-time unit, when we are adding an External Factors.

\[ AvrE'' = \frac{8648}{165} = 52.41 \text{ Energy unit} \]

6.2. The case of inundation without using the proposed solution
We notice a considerable falling of energy when we are adding an inundation attacks at the time 27, that falling considered amount:

- Normal state:
  \[ AvrI = \frac{42}{210} = 0.20. \text{ Energy unit}. \]

- Attack state:
  \[ AvrI' = \frac{6145}{165} = 37.24. \text{ Energy unit} \]

6.3. The case of Jamming without using the proposed solution:
We observe a considerable falling of energy when the adding of jamming attack at the time 27, that falling considered amount:

- Normal state:
  \[ AvrJ = \frac{42}{210} = 0.20. \text{ Energy unit}. \]

- Attack state:
  \[ AvrJ' = \frac{872}{165} = 5.28. \text{ Energy unit} \]

6.4. The Applying Of Time Based Fault Detection and Isolation:
The TBFDI can be applied to detect and isolate inundation attacks and jamming attacks. After adding the attackers, we get this graphical result, which indicate Number of attacks that are studied.
This following graph shown network’s energy in case when we activate our solution, this energy is calculated by sum the Energy of All sensors. However, The TBFDI authorizes us to detect fault (inundation & jamming) and isolate their influence.

- Normal state: (from 1 to 5-Time Unit)
  \[ \text{Moy}_{\text{TBFDI}} = \frac{6}{45} = 0.13 \text{ Energy unit.} \]

- Attack state : (from 5 to 31-Time Unit)
  \[ \text{Moy}_{\text{TBFDI'}} = \frac{1418}{195} = 7.271. \text{Energy unit} \]

- From 31 To 43 unit of time, we return to the normal state after we delete two faults, which are the inundation attacks and the jamming attacks. However, the changing of energy is amount:
  \[ \text{Moy}_{\text{TBFDI_solution}} = \frac{24}{90} = 0.26. \text{Energy unit.} \]

Finally, in order to figure out the number of neighbors of each sensor, those allow knowing the state of sensor nodes, which are the faulty ones.

Table 1 Energy Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault</th>
<th>External factor</th>
<th>inundation attacks</th>
<th>Jamming attacks</th>
<th>Solution Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>52.41</td>
<td>37.24</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>7.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td>52.20</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>7.141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As we can see in table 1 we are comparing the energy consumption in the normal state of the network (i.e. the network does not attacked by the proposed attacks), and the abnormal state when the network is attacked. Therefore, in the first state it indicates that the energy flows in the stable way. The second state, the energy consumption is increasing rapidly.

The differences between the two cases (normal/abnormal) show us the measure of energy consumption. These values are extracted from our simulation that is created using C++ language (C++ builder platform). This application simulates the deployment of sensors, the proposed attackers, their influences, and draws graphs of the energy consumption.

Finally, this table describes the energy consumption of the network during the attacks and after applying the proposed solution, as we can see our proposed solution TBFDI does minimize the energy conception and ensures the performance of the network.

7. CONCLUSION

WSN are a very dynamic research area, because of their use in various fields, and their applications are continuously increasing. However, the realization of these applications poses great challenges that must be addressed; the security of these networks is one of the most important challenges to be
considered. In this paper, we have proposed a time-based algorithm for the detection and isolation of three different WSN’s failures. This algorithm has proved its ability to detect three types of faults but it has some drawbacks such as its decreased performance when the size of the network gets bigger, therefore their might be some improved version of this algorithm in the future that addresses this problem.
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