Scientific paper review is drudgery. I appreciate selfless service of Reviewers, Authors and the Editorial board for effort in getting publishable materials on this journal. What is your responsibility to raise this journal standard? First, Authors must cut-off clutters to reduce workload on peer reviewers. Sometimes, smart authors use tables and figures derived from simulations or mathematical expressions to convenience readers without clear analysis of results to interpret those figures/tables. Secondly, peer reviewers have to move from old-fashioned objective review approach to supportive review (coaching) when dealing with newly established journals. Sometimes offer as much help to the author to raise the standard of paper instead of rejection. Let’s face it, managing editors of new journals may wish to publish more papers to get right accreditation. Until maturity stage, reviewers must go extra mile to offer supportive review to authors in reviews. In many old publishing houses, we have smart reviewers helping smart authors to get works published due to reviewer’s overly responsibilities; teaching, research and services to local entities as well as international journal. Quality is sometimes compromised in many journals. Do we have to repeat the same trend like other journals where we came from to join this editorial board? No. Third, Co-authors who are normally senior members has to take responsibility of papers to ensure quality. Sometimes we are interested getting our names listed as part of a paper. A senior member should consider upholding international reputation and scholarly integrity as professor. Let your name appear on well refined works. In fact, this journal has a promising future; the name caption “IJCN” and scope of papers consideration is succinct to attract international destination for publishing good works in networks and its broad applications. How can we ensure quality standard before and after publications? Stakeholders must actively collaborate on knowledge-share contributions to ground international reputation through short submissions such as “letter to the editor” on specific publication limitations or similar editorial pieces to draw attention on quality. If you critique your own house, people trust your household. Avoid being smart. In this article “smart” is used in bad context; where already mentioned actors in academic writing decide to underplay due diligence.

I present two observations in my short exposure as editorial member of this Journal; lengthy related works inclusion and lapses in grammatical proofreading.

STOP LENGHTY RELATED WORKS INCLUSION

Include only grounding related works in your investigation. Scientific publishing industry do not apply strict rule on number of related works to include in a paper; yet author must show empathy to reviewers by reducing related work papers to as high as four (4) papers or estimated one-page. This is enough for scientific journal. Select few papers that can drive readers’ attention towards your paper objectives. Whiles we avoided mentioning names of some papers, we have example published works in this journal with “related works” presented to cover more than half the length of entire papers. By interpretation, author may be fresh undergraduate recruited as teaching assistant to do topic review or author lacks novelty to share with the scientific fraternity. Co-authors, mostly senior members, have to offer supportive review to fresh authors under their mentorship to protect publishers, reviewers and authors’ international reputation.

DO GRAMMATICAL PROOFREADING

Race, color, colonization, and geographical origins are excuse factors to weak English writing. Academic writing demands concise and clear expression. Collaborate with other international partners who can offer grammatical and structural supports. Alternatively, online grammar editing tools are available to refine your scientific writing. Example: http://www.gingersoftware.com/grammarcheck and others.

CONCLUSION

Good work increases citation. Let other authors feel good to reference your structured work. We attract readership mostly based on presentation than main idea embedded in your paper. We are all responsible for building reputation of this journal.
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