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Abstract - Underwater acoustic sensor network is used to monitor the ocean areas with the help of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles, wireless data access points like tools. A number of problems like environmental changes, predicting disaster informations, exploration of mines can be addressed by applying this process in the sea. In this paper, we apply the routing protocol Vector Based Forwarding in two different architecture like static nodes and moving nodes in an underwater architecture. The comparison between the architecture is based on the simulation results, from the comparison the Energy Efficiency, Throughput, PDR are analyzed. Seeing the various graph results, we can conclude that the VBF protocol is significantly beneficial for the underwater architecture with moving nodes than static nodes.

Index Terms- UWSN, Routing, Vector Based Forwarding, Aqua-sim, PDR.

1. INTRODUCTION

The communication using the sensor nodes in underwater environment is now a days needed for monitoring environment in Deep Ocean. Underwater communication use acoustic waves, which is slower than the radio frequency. Acoustic waves signal encounters problems like propagation delay and slow data delivery, so there is in need of analysis for best protocol to overcome these problems [1-3]. A good communication system and also an effective routing protocol is needed to ensure maximum efficiency.

Recently, many routing algorithm have been proposed for UWSNs to overcome these problems [4-6]. There are two types of algorithms, localization-based algorithm and localization-free algorithm. Normally, the localization-based algorithm formulate realistic sense of balance of their performance for various parameters [8-10]. In this paper, we analyze the localized protocol VBF in two ways which are, first the nodes are static in various location in Deep Ocean, and second the nodes are moving for collecting information from the environment. Here, the VBF form a vector pipe from source node to destination node to find the nearest possible node to transfer the data. When receiver receives it, then it finds the next forwarder in the same procedure using the vector pipe and transmit the data. This process repeated until the data is transmitted to the target node [11-13].

2. RELATED WORK

Many research work has been done in last few years for routing protocol, which discussed many challenges in detail [1-3]. Many different protocols are analyzed for their various performance and parameters [6-8].The localization algorithms are specifically analyzed for their route findings and delivery of data [9-11].In some research work, the terrestrial architecture is formed with the help of clusters. In which the cluster-head in each cluster used to communicate with the other nodes in each group [12, 14]. This cluster concept is implemented in our underwater sensor network architecture and the protocol performance are analyzed [15, 16]. From the above list of analysis, we can say that the various challenges are in UWSN, so further analysis can be done using some specific protocols like VBF. In this paper, we analyze the architecture for the protocol.

3. SYSTEM MODEL

3.1. Architecture

The Figure 1 describes UWSN Architecture with Vector pipe formation for VBF protocol. In this architecture the ships act as sink with the help of floating buoy and various nodes are deployed under the sea. Here we assume the two architecture first the nodes are moving for gathering data, and second they are fixed using anchors to gather data.

3.2. VBF Protocol

In UWSN, the VBF protocol will access the position information of each node which is done by the location algorithm. Further it will create the vector pipe from source to destination, with the angle of arrival (AOA) and signal strength to find the forwarder. After delivery of packet, the node act as sender and find the next forwarder, this process will be continued till the destination is found[7,10]. The flowchart shown in Figure 2 explains the functionality of the VBF protocol.
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Figure 1 Architecture with Vector pipe formation for VBF protocol

Figure 2 Flowchart for VBF protocol
In VBF protocol, if the forwarder finds itself that it is close to the routing vector before finding the next forwarder, it holds the packet for a time period $T_{\text{adaptation}}$,

$$T_{\text{adaptation}} = \sqrt{\alpha \times T_{\text{delay}} + (R-d)/V}$$

Where

- $\alpha$ - small desirable factor
- $T_{\text{delay}}$ – maximum delay
- $V$ – propagation speed
- $d$ - distance between close nodes
- $R$ – Transmission range

Here the small desirable factor $\alpha$, can be calculated using the vector projection, angle between source and forwarder, transmission range and distance. The distance can be calculated by the source and forwarder position $(x, y, z)$ values in the architecture [10].

In this time period $T_{\text{adaptation}}$, when the node receives the duplicate packet, it neglects the packet by checking its desirable factor with the existing packets desirable factor.

### 3.3. VBF algorithm

- Location($N(i)$)=Rand($x$),Rand($y$) //initialize the system with nodes position
- Destination($D$)=Rand(sink)
- Source($S$)=Rand($N(i)$) //Randomly choose the source
- For $I = 1$ to $N(i)$
  - vectorpipe($S$, $D$)
  - For $J = 1$ to $N(i)$
    - Find forwarder($F$)
    - If $F$ found then
      - $F$.data=$S$.data
      - end if
    - End if
  - End for
  - If $D$ reached then
    - $D$.data=$S$.data
    - End if
  - /next transaction begins
  - End for

The above algorithm is implemented in the simulation program to send the data packet from source to destination. When the nodes are moving the vector will quickly find the forwarder and send the packet to the destination. On the other hand when the nodes are static, procedure will repeat the vector pipe formation and find the forwarder, because in the vector pipe will not contain the unspoiled forwarder. Then it transfers the data to the destination.

Normally the protocols are designed for specific environment architecture which is dependent on its characteristics like syntax, semantics and timing. Here we analyze the VBF protocol for finding the best performance in the underwater between static and moving nodes.

### 4. EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

The experiment is implemented using the NS2 Aqua-sim which is a packet level simulator. In Aqua-sim we implement the above with nodes and sinks. In this architecture the protocol VBF is analyzed in underwater sensor network up to 250 nodes. Here we place the nodes uniformly in a scenario of cubic 300m area. The transmission range is 120 meters and the data packet size ranges from 25 to 125 bytes. As a result, it produces the trace file. The trace file contains the information about the communication in a record format as text file. Using the AWK language, which accept text file as input and process a sequence of record information, we can get the EC, PDR and Throughput etc. The following table represents the simulation parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation Software</th>
<th>NS2 version 2.30(Aqua-sim)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topology size</td>
<td>300m x 300m x 300m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>25, 50, 75,……., 225,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission range</td>
<td>120 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet size</td>
<td>25 to 125 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation time</td>
<td>300 Seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Energy</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle power</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Simulation Parameters

### 4.1. NS2 Aqua-Sim Overview

Aqua-Sim simulator is specialized for UWSNs, Which is developed on the basis of NS-2. Aqua-Sim can effectively simulate acoustic signal attenuation and packet level in underwater sensor networks. Moreover, in Aqua-Sim the three-dimensional deployment can be done. It can easily be integrated with the existing codes in NS-2. It is in parallel with the CMU wireless simulation package. The Aqua-Sim can evolve independently. It is a powerful recreation tool, with high fidelity and flexibility, for underwater networking in research [22-23].

The Figure 3 represents that Aqua-Sim is independent from wireless simulation package, which is not affected by any
change made in the wireless package in NS2. On the other hand, any change to Aqua-Sim is also restricted to it and does not have any impact on other packages in NS-2. Aqua-Sim stands on 3D networks and mobile network. Here the underwater sound channel are have high loyalty. It carries a complete protocol stack from physical layer to application layer [24].

4.2. AWK Programming

The NS2 text files can be processed using AWK language. The AWK program is a sequence of pattern-action statements, in which each statement is a record. Each record is broken up into a sequence of fields. So we can consider the first word in a line as the first field, the second word as the second field, and so on. In actual fact, AWK is a stylish and simple language. AWK is an extremely flexible programming language for working on files. It is an excellent tool for processing these rows and columns, and AWK is easier to use than most conventional programming languages. And it reads a line at a time as Input and computes the process and gives the Output. Due to string manipulation functions, in AWK programming, it can search for particular strings and modify the output. AWK Programming can also perform on UNIX utilities to generate rows and columns of information [25].

5. ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Since the nodes in UWSN is big in size it need more energy for its process, so analyzing this parameter is very useful in each communication. This will represent the transmitting, receiving and ideal energy consumption of a node [17-18].

Energy consumption for static nodes (Figure 4) and Moving Nodes (Figure 5) is shown below. The nodes deployed in the area ranges from 25 to 250. The packet size is from 25 bytes to 125 bytes which is shown in different colors. In each point, we get the data for energy consumption for a group of nodes at a particular packet size.

6. THROUGHPUT

Throughput in a communication can be defined by the amount of data packets delivered. That is (total_packet_sent-total_dropped_packets)/number_of_transmission. The average throughput for all the range of nodes are calculated corresponding to the several packet size for static and moving nodes.
.nodes. The Figures 7, 8 describe the average throughput of static and moving nodes analysis.

Figure 7 Throughput in Static nodes

Figure 8 Throughput in Moving nodes

Figure 9 Comparing the Avg. throughput for packet size 100 bytes. The above Figure 9 represent the average throughput for packet size 100 bytes in our architecture. From that we can clearly describe that the VBF protocol is suitable for moving nodes because the throughput is higher than the static nodes architecture.

7. PDR

Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR) define the successful transmission of packets from source to destination. Like throughput, we can calculate the PDR by using number of the packets sent and received. That is total-packet-received / total-packet-sent. The Figures 10, 11 illustrate the PDR for group of nodes placed in our architecture in which the nodes are static and moving. The colored line represent the various packet size delivery from source to destination.

Figure 10 Avg. Delivery ratio in Static Nodes

Figure 11 Avg. Delivery ratio in Moving nodes

Figure 12 Comparing the PDR for packet size 100 bytes. From the Figure 12, we can say that the delivery ratio is higher in moving nodes than the static nodes for mostly all group of nodes and also for all packet size.

8. PACKET DROP

Packet drop is an important factor in data transmission, when it is less the communication will perform with maximum efficiency. The packet drop can be find by the difference between the sent and the received packets. The Figures 13, 14
demonstrate the comparison between the architecture with various number of nodes for packet drop.

From the Figure 15 we can say that the Packet Drop is less for the Moving nodes while using the VBF protocol for environment with various number of nodes.

9. CONCLUSION

In underwater sensor network architecture, when we analyze the VBF protocols performance, the above results represent that the VBF is mostly and highly recommended protocol for the moving node architecture, which will consume low energy, high throughput, high packet delivery ratio and low packet drop than the static node architecture.
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